

1  
2  
3  
4  
5 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
6 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
7

8 WILLIAM HELM, et al.,

No. C 08-01184 SI

9 Plaintiffs,

**ORDER DENYING DEFENDANT'S  
MOTION TO SEVER WITHOUT  
PREJUDICE**

10 v.

11 ALDERWOODS GROUP, INC.,

12 Defendant.  
13 \_\_\_\_\_/

14 Defendant's motion to sever is currently set for hearing on June 4, 2010. Pursuant to Civil Local  
15 Rule 7-1(b), the Court finds this matter appropriate for resolution without oral argument and hereby  
16 VACATES the hearing.<sup>1</sup> Having considered the papers submitted, and for good cause shown, the Court  
17 DENIES defendant's motion without prejudice to renewal at a later date.  
18

19 **DISCUSSION**

20 The subject of this litigation is a wage and hour dispute brought by current and former  
21 employees of Alderwoods Group, Inc. ("Alderwoods"), a provider of funerary services. On December  
22 29, 2009, the Court issued an order denying plaintiffs' motion for class certification. (Docket No. 230).  
23 In the motion now before the Court, Alderwoods seeks an order severing the claims of each of the more  
24 than 100 named plaintiffs pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 21. Alderwoods asks that the  
25 Court sever plaintiffs' claims, dismiss all plaintiffs except lead plaintiff William Helm, and require each  
26 individual plaintiff, including Mr. Helm, to file separate complaints in separate actions.  
27 \_\_\_\_\_

28 <sup>1</sup> The case management conference set for 3:00 p.m. on June 4, 2010 will remain on calendar.

1 Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 20 provides that multiple plaintiffs may join in a single action  
2 if (1) they assert a right to relief that arises “out of the same transaction, occurrence, or series of  
3 transactions or occurrences,” and (2) they raise “any question of law or fact common to all plaintiffs.”  
4 Fed. R. Civ. P. 20(a)(1). “If the test for permissive joinder is not satisfied, a court, in its discretion, may  
5 sever the misjoined parties, so long as no substantial right will be prejudiced by the severance.”  
6 *Coughlin v. Rogers*, 130 F.3d 1348, 1350 (9th Cir. 1997); *see also* Fed. R. Civ. P. 21 (“[T]he court may  
7 at any time . . . sever any claim against a party.”). Even if the permissive joinder requirements are met,  
8 the court may sever to avoid delay, jury confusion, or prejudice to the moving party. Fed. R. Civ. P.  
9 20(b); *Coleman v. Quaker Oats Co.*, 232 F.3d 1271, 1296 (9th Cir. 2000).

10 In the Court’s view, Alderwoods has raised serious issues with respect to the propriety of the  
11 joinder of more than 100 plaintiffs in this action. However, in light of plaintiffs’ representation that they  
12 need further time to conduct additional discovery for the purpose of renewing their motion for class  
13 certification, the Court believes that granting severance at this time would be premature. Alderwoods’  
14 motion to sever is therefore DENIED. The denial is without prejudice to renewal if plaintiffs have not  
15 filed their renewed motion for class certification within sixty days from the date of this order.

### 17 CONCLUSION

18 Defendant’s motion to sever is DENIED without prejudice. (Docket No. 232).

19  
20 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

21 Dated: May 28, 2010



22 SUSAN ILLSTON  
23 United States District Judge  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28